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I. INTRODUCTION

Deducing the properties of strongly interacting QCD matter at high temperatures and densities is one of the major goals of heavy ion collision experiments, as performed at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC), the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), or at the upcoming Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research (FAIR). Experiments at the LHC and RHIC strongly suggest that at sufficiently large beam energies, i.e. high temperatures $T$ and small baryochemical potentials $\mu_B$, a new state of matter is created, comparable to a nearly perfect fluid with very low viscosity \[1-4\]. Possible phase transitions in other regions of the phase diagram with larger chemical potentials and baryonic densities are probed at lower beam energies.

Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) is the theoretical framework for the description of strongly interacting matter. A great difficulty in dealing with the QCD Lagrangian results from the fact that the equations of QCD cannot be solved analytically and perturbative approaches on QCD are suitable only in the high-temperature regime. Nevertheless, there is strong evidence for the existence of two phase transitions for strongly interacting matter. One is the chiral phase transition from a state with spontaneously broken chiral symmetry at low temperatures to a chirally symmetric phase with vanishing constituent quark masses \[5-8\]. For this transition, the chiral condensate $\sigma = \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ serves as a well-defined order parameter. At vanishing baryochemical potentials $\mu = 0$, lattice QCD methods can be used to study the chiral transition. In this theoretical approach, the QCD Lagrangian is treated numerically on a space-time grid. Calculations with different lattice actions consistently suggest a smooth cross-over transition with a "critical" temperature in the region of $T_c \approx 160$ MeV \[9-18\]. At non-zero chemical potentials, standard lattice QCD methods are not applicable due to the fermion sign problem. The fundamental phase structure of strongly interacting matter is still unknown. However, expansion and reweighting methods exist to extrapolate results from lattice QCD into the region $\mu > 0$ of the phase diagram \[10, 11, 19-28\]. In this region, predictions on the order and exact location of the phase transition from different lattice groups are not consistent and depend on the used lattice action and other parameters such as the lattice spacing and the quark mass. While often the existence of a first-order phase transition and a critical endpoint at a certain chemical potential is predicted \[12, 19, 29-31\], other recent results suggest a smooth cross-over transition in $\sigma$ for the whole $T-\mu$-plane under investigation \[20, 22\].

The other phase transition in QCD matter is the deconfinement transition from hadronic bound quark states to a quark-gluon plasma (QGP) state at high temperatures and baryonic densities \[32\] with the Polyakov loop $\Phi$ as a suitable order parameter.

Besides direct numerical approaches solving the QCD Lagrangian, a broad range of effective theoretical models exist for describing known properties of the phase diagram and thermodynamic characteristics of strongly interacting matter \[33-40\]. In this paper, such a model is presented including all known hadronic degrees of freedom \[41-44\] as well as a quark phase for the description of highly excited matter. With this model, it is possible to study the QCD phase diagram with a par-
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ticular focus on the phase transitions. In our approach, the description of the hadronic phase is done by a mean field sigma-omega type model a quark phase is implemented following Polyakov-loop-extended Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (PNJL) models [45–55].

This paper is organized as follows. After this introduction, we present our quark hadron model and outline its theoretical background in Sec. II. Results for the order parameters for chiral restoration and the deconfinement phase transition, as well as thermodynamic properties of our model and the susceptibility coefficients are presented in Sec. III. A short summary and concluding remarks are given in Sec. IV.

II. MODEL APPROACH

For this study, we use a $SU(3)$-flavor $\sigma$-$\omega$ model with a non-linear realization of chiral symmetry; see Refs. [37, 41, 42, 50] for a more formal and detailed description of the original purely hadronic model. In this effective model, the Lagrangian in mean field approximation [35, 53] takes the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{meson}},$$

where the first term represents the kinetic energy of the hadrons as described in Ref. [42] in more detail. The interaction of baryons and quarks with the scalar mesons fields $\sigma$, $\zeta$ (attractive interaction, see Eq. (2)) and the vector meson fields $\omega$, $\phi$ (repulsive interaction) respectively, is expressed by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -\sum_i \bar{\psi}_i \left[ \gamma_0 \left( g_{i\omega} \omega^0 + g_{i\phi} \phi^0 \right) + m_i^* \right] \psi_i.$$ (2)

The summation index $i$ runs over the three lightest quark flavors ($u$, $d$, $s$), the baryonic octet, decuplet, and all heavier baryonic resonance states up to masses of $m_{N^*} = 2.6$ GeV, which have at least a three star rating in the Particle Data Group listings [52, 59].

The mesonic condensates in our model are considered in mean field approximation. They include the scalar isoscalar field $\sigma \sim \langle \bar{u} u + \bar{d} d \rangle$ (which is identified as the chiral order parameter) and its strange equivalent $\zeta \sim \langle \bar{s} s \rangle$, as well as the vector isoscalars $\omega$ and $\phi$. The coupling strengths $g_{\omega,\zeta}$ of quarks and baryons to the scalar fields account for their effective mass, which to a large extent is generated dynamically

$$m_i^* = g_{i\sigma} \sigma + g_{i\zeta} \zeta + \delta m_i.$$ (3)

The explicit mass term $\delta m_i$ is chosen such as to reproduce the tabulated vacuum masses, which is the mean mass for broad resonance states. The lightest baryons exhibit an explicit mass of $\delta m_i = 150$ MeV and somewhat larger values with increasing vacuum mass of the specific particle. The quark masses are set to $\delta m_{u,d} = 6$ MeV and $\delta m_s = 105$ MeV. According to Eq. (3), the decrease of the $\sigma$-field at high temperatures and densities leads to decreasing baryon masses and thus to the restoration of chiral symmetry. The resulting effective masses and the connected ground state properties are discussed in detail at the beginning of Sec. III.

In the same manner, the effective chemical potential for quarks and baryons is given by

$$\mu_i^* = \mu_i - g_{i\omega} \omega - g_{i\phi} \phi,$$ (4)

and therefore, its value is generated by the couplings $g_{i\omega,\phi}$ of quarks and baryons to the vector meson fields.

The mesonic part of the Lagrangian Eq. (1) includes the mass terms and the self interactions of the vector mesons

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{vec}} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_0 \left( m_{\omega}^2 \omega^2 + m_{\phi}^2 \phi^2 \right) + g_4 \left( \omega^4 + \frac{\phi^4}{4} + 3 \omega^2 \phi^2 + \frac{4 \omega^3 \phi}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{2 \omega \phi^3}{\sqrt{2}} \right),$$ (6)

as well as the self interaction terms of the scalar mesons explicitly given by the terms

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{2} k_0' \left( \sigma^2 + \zeta^2 \right) + k_1 \left( \sigma^2 + \zeta^2 \right)^2 + k_2 \left( \frac{\sigma^4}{2} + \zeta^4 \right) + k_3' \sigma^2 \zeta$$

$$- k_4 \chi^4 - \frac{1}{4} \chi^4 \ln \frac{\chi^4}{\chi_0^4} + \frac{\delta}{3} \chi^4 \ln \frac{\sigma^2 \zeta}{\sigma^2 \zeta_0},$$ (7)

and an explicit symmetry breaking, defined by the terms

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ESB}} = -\frac{\chi_0^2}{\chi^5} \left[ m_{\pi}^2 \pi \sigma + \left( \sqrt{2} m_{\pi} f_\pi \left( \sqrt{2} m_{\pi} f_\pi - 1 \right) \right) \zeta \right].$$ (8)

The scalar dilaton field $\chi$ can be identified as the gluon condensate. It was introduced in order to ensure scale invariance of the Lagrangian and to model QCD trace anomaly [42]. In the purely hadronic scenarios without considering a quark phase, changes in the $\chi$-field are very small and thus it is kept fixed at its ground state value $\chi_0$. This does not apply, in the presence of the quark phase. In this case, the quarks couple to the dilaton field [cf. Eq. (20)] and hence, its value changes with the temperature. The chosen parameters of the Lagrangian are listed in Tab. 3.

The mesonic potential, which sets the dynamically generated meson masses, is defined as $\mathcal{V}_{\text{meson}} = -\mathcal{L}_{\text{meson}}$. From this, the masses of the mesons in the model are obtained by the second derivative of the potential with respect to the particular meson species $\xi_j$

$$m_{j\xi}^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_j^2} \mathcal{V}_{\text{meson}}.$$ (9)
In our model the coupling strengths of the baryons and the quarks to the fields are the parameters with the biggest impact on the resulting mater properties and the phase diagram. The couplings of the baryonic octet are fixed such as to reproduce the well-known vacuum masses and nuclear saturation properties (see Refs. [55, 60] for details). For the couplings of the baryonic resonances to the fields, a straightforward two parameter ansatz is chosen [43]. This approach avoids having multiple different coupling parameters for every single resonance state or SU(3) multiplets. The resonance couplings are connected to those of the nucleons to the respective fields via the relations

\[ g_{B,s,\zeta} = r_v g_{N,s,\zeta} \]
\[ g_{B,\omega,\phi} = r_v g_{N,\omega,\phi} \]

where \( r_v \) and \( r_s \) are the so-called scaling parameters for the scalar and vector fields. Ref. [43] demonstrates the impact of baryonic resonance states on the phase diagram. This was essentially done by varying the vector coupling strength (change the value of \( r_v \), which effectively controls the abundance of particles at finite baryochemical potentials in the model). For reasonable couplings, a first-order phase transition ceases to exist in favor of a smooth cross-over due to the continuous population of heavier resonances states.

In this study, the vector coupling parameter is kept fixed at \( r_v = 0.9 \). Furthermore, in order to ensure a smooth cross-over of the order parameter and of the thermodynamic quantities at vanishing chemical potentials, the scalar couplings are also fixed at a value close to unity \( r_s = 1 \). For the non-interacting hadron resonance gas (HRG), the couplings of all particles to the fields are set to zero and \( \delta m_0 \) is kept fixed at the respective vacuum mass values [58, 59].

The couplings of the quarks to the meson fields are controlled by \( g_{q,\sigma,\zeta} \) and \( g_{q,\omega,\phi} \), where \( q \) stands for the respective quark species (\( u, d, s \)). The explicit masses of the quarks and the nucleons \( m_q \) and their coupling strengths to the fields are listed in Tab. III in Sec. III A. A detailed study of the impact of the quark couplings on the phase diagram and the nuclear ground state is performed.

All thermodynamic quantities in our model can be derived from the grand canonical potential, which is defined as

\[ \Omega = -L_{\text{int}} - L_{\text{meson}} + \Omega_{\text{th}} - U_{\text{Pol.}} \]  

### Table I. General parameters used in the mesonic part of the chiral model Lagrangian Eq. (5). The couplings of the particles to the meson fields are given in Tab. II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k_0 )</th>
<th>( k_1 )</th>
<th>( k_2 )</th>
<th>( k_3 )</th>
<th>( k_4 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.83 \times 10^3 MeV</td>
<td>-1.40</td>
<td>-5.55</td>
<td>-1.07 \times 10^3 MeV</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \delta )</th>
<th>( \chi_0 )</th>
<th>( g_4 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>401.93 MeV</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II. Explicit particle masses \( \delta m_0 \) and coupling strengths of the quarks and the nucleons \( q_i \) to the fields. In the non-interacting HRG scenario, all couplings are set to zero (cf. Fig. 5 for detailed parameter study).

| \( q \) | \( \delta m_0 \) [MeV] | \( g_\sigma \) | \( g_\zeta \) | \( g_\omega \) | \( g_\phi \) |
|--------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| u      | 6.0 | -5.55 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| d      | 6.0 | -5.5 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| s      | 105.0 | 0.0 | -5.5 | 0.0 | 7.1 |
| N      | 150 | -9.83 | 1.22 | 11.56 | 0.0 |

In the heat bath of hadrons and quarks, the thermal contribution to the potential includes those from quarks, baryons, and mesons

\[ \Omega_{\text{th}} = \Omega_{q\bar{q}} + \Omega_{BB} + \Omega_{M}. \]

In explicit form, the thermal energy contributions are defined as

\[ \Omega_{q\bar{q}} = -T \sum_{i \in \{u,d,s\}} \frac{\gamma_i}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 k \left( \ln \left[ 1 + \Phi e^{-\frac{1}{g}(E_i^*(k) - m_i^*)} \right] \right. \\
+ \ln \left[ 1 + e^{-\frac{1}{g}(E_i^*(k) + m_i^*)} \right], \]

for the quarks and antiquarks (\( i \in \{u,d,s\} \)), which couple to the effective Polyakov loop potential \( \Phi \) and its conjugate \( \Phi \) as described below,

\[ \Omega_{BB} = -T \sum_{j \in B} \frac{\gamma_j}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 k \left( \ln \left[ 1 + e^{-\frac{1}{g}(E_j^*(k) - m_j^*)} \right] \right. \\
+ \ln \left[ 1 + e^{-\frac{1}{g}(E_j^*(k) + m_j^*)} \right], \]

for baryons and antibaryons with the sum running over all baryons \( B \), and

\[ \Omega_{M} = T \sum_{l \in M} \frac{\gamma_l}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 k \ln \left[ 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{g}(E_l^*(k) - m_l^*)} \right]. \]

for the mesons, where in this case the sum runs over all mesons. The spin-isospin-degeneracy factor is denoted with \( \gamma_{i,j,l} \) for the respective particle species \( i,j,l \) and \( E_{i,j,l}^*(k) = \sqrt{k^2 + m_{i,j,l}^2} \) for the single particle energies.

The introduction of the quarks in our model is done as in other recent extensions of the original Nambu–Jona-Lasinio models [3, 40] which include an effective Polyakov loop potential. The Refs. [43, 47, 48, 53, 61–63] give a comprehensive review of these PNJL models.

The scalar Polyakov loop field \( \Phi \) in Eq. (14) is retrieved by tracing the constant matrix valued temporal component of the SU(3) color gauge background field

\[ \Phi = \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr} \left( e^{-A_0/T} \right). \]  

Phenomenologically, this field indicates the phase transition from a confined hadronic phase to a deconfined partonic phase and therefore from the hadron resonance
Table III. Parameters of the effective Polyakov loop potential Eqs. (18), (19) and coupling parameters of the model. The value of \( T_0 \) is adapted according to couplings (see text).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( T_0</th>
<th>\text{MeV}</th>
<th>a_0</th>
<th>a_1</th>
<th>a_2</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>225 - 235</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>-1.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gas to the quark phase. These dynamics are controlled by the effective Polyakov loop potential, adapted from Ref. [63], in the form

\[
U = -\frac{1}{2}a(T)\bar{\Phi}\Phi + b \left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right)^3 \ln \left[ 1 - 6\bar{\Phi}\Phi \right] + 4(\bar{\Phi}^3 + \Phi^3) - 3(\Phi\Phi)^2,
\]  

which fulfills the Z(3) center symmetry of pure gauge QCD. The temperature dependent parameter

\[
a(T) = a_0 + a_1 \left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right) + a_2 \left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right)^2
\]

and the parameters therein are chosen such as to reproduce known features of the deconfinement transition [63].

At low temperatures, in the confined phase, \( \Phi \) is zero and its value rises with increasing temperatures to \( \Phi \rightarrow 1 \) above the critical Polyakov temperature \( T_0 \) and with increasing chemical potentials. The model parameters for the Polyakov loop potential are listed in Tab. III. For the free parameter of the Polyakov loop \( T_0 \), a value of 235 MeV is chosen when used in the non-interacting scenario, in which all couplings are set to zero, and 225 MeV, when all particles in the model couple to the respective meson fields as described above.

Since the frozen dilaton field \( \chi = \chi_0 \) constrains the chiral condensate to finite values for all \( T \) and \( \mu \), a coupling of the Polyakov loop potential to the dilaton field is introduced. This suppresses \( \sigma \) when deconfinement is achieved. It is realized via

\[
\chi = \chi_0 \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{\Phi^2}{2} + \frac{\bar{\Phi}^2}{2}\right)^2 \right],
\]

a form similar to the one described in Ref. [64]. This coupling leads to a stronger and more reasonable suppression of the chiral condensate in the presence of free quarks in the last three terms of the scalar meson self interaction in Eq. (7).

Minimizing the grand canonical potential Eq. (12) for a given temperature and baryochemical potential with respect to its respective fields, leads to a coupled system of non-linear equations of motion for the fields and the particle densities \( \rho_{i,j,l} \). In the following, all thermodynamic quantities are derived starting with the pressure \( p = -\partial \Omega/\partial V \) and the entropy density \( s = \partial p/\partial T \) by using the expression for the internal energy \( \epsilon = Ts - pV + \sum_i \mu_i \rho_i \), with the summation index \( i \) running over all particle species in the model.

In order to use hadronic degrees of freedom at low temperatures and densities and quarks at higher temperatures and densities, we introduce an eigenvalue \( V^i \) for each particle species \( i \), as it was done in Refs. [55, 60, 66]; similar approaches to repulsive volume interactions are carried out in Refs. [67, 71]. By doing so, it is ensured that with increasing densities at higher \( T \) and \( \mu \) – at the latest when quark abundances rise quickly at the deconfinement phase transition – an effective suppression of hadronic degrees of freedom is established due to excluded volume effects, as was shown in Refs. [72, 73]. An eigenvalue for every particle of a species \( i \) is introduced and expressed by

\[
V^i_{ex} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{4}{3} \pi (2 r)^3,
\]

with the parameter \( r \) defining the effective radius of the hadrons in the model. For the relation between the baryon and the meson radii, we assume \( r_M = r_B/2 \). For calculations including the quark phase and assuming full couplings to the meson fields, a value \( V^B_{ex} = 2.7 \) fm for the baryons and \( V^D_{ex}/8 \) for the mesons is chosen (cf. Tab. IV).

Quarks do not exhibit a finite volume.

In this way, each single hadron occupies a certain volume and thereby reduces the total volume \( V \) by \( V^i_{ex} \). This results in a modified chemical potential

\[
\mu^i_j = \mu^j - \sum_i V^i_{ex} \rho^i_j,
\]

where \( \rho^i_j \) denotes the partial pressure of species \( i \).

The particle, energy, and entropy densities are corrected by the excluded volume and found to be

\[
\rho^i = \rho^i_j/(1 + V^i_{ex} \rho^i_j),
\]

\[
e^j = \sum_i e^i_j/(1 + V^i_{ex} \rho^i_j),
\]

\[
s^j = \sum_i s^i_j/(1 + V^i_{ex} \rho^i_j),
\]

This definition of \( \mu^i_j \) and the volume corrected thermodynamic quantities ensures that thermodynamic consistency holds true in the whole \( T-\mu \)-plane. That means, the relation for the internal energy, as given above, and all partial derivatives for determining the thermodynamic quantities remain valid.

III. RESULTS

A. Model Properties

First, we present results from the described model at vanishing baryochemical potential, \( \mu_B = 0 \). Figure 1 shows the order parameter for the chiral phase transition normalized to its ground state value \( \sigma/\sigma_0 \) as a function of the temperature. The order parameter for the deconfinement transition \( \Phi \) as a function \( T \) is shown in Fig. 2.
The hadrons with excluded volume suppression and a quark phase. All couplings to the mesonic fields are set to the finite values given above. As of this temperature, the number of quarks exceeds the number of baryons and mesons in the systems. Their smaller coupling strength to the chiral condensate (cf. Tab. 11) leads to a much slower decrease in $\sigma$. On the other hand, the rapid increase of the quark multiplicities at $T_c$ generates a distinctly steeper slope of the Polyakov loop parameter $\Phi$ (b) than predicted by lattice QCD data, which is compiled in the gray band in Fig. 2.

However, the Polyakov loop $\Phi$ results reproduce those from Ref. 13, depicted by the red circles. More recent PNJL models 10 also show a significant overshooting of the lattice results in the transition region revealing an obvious discrepancy between effective models and first-principle calculations on this point. In lattice QCD, there is no sharp increase in the deconfinement order parameter at $T_c$.

As two limiting cases, the order parameters for the non-interacting HRG is shown with [green dashed line in Fig. 2] and without a quark phase. The $\sigma$-parameter for the latter two scenarios are shown by the flat line at $\sigma/\sigma_0 = 1$. The excluded volume parameters of the baryons $V_{ex}$ for the four different scenarios considered had to be adapted in order to both give a smooth transition between the two phases and a reasonable agreement with lattice data for the thermodynamic quantities. These requirements cause a rather large eigenvolume of 0.86 fm which is slightly smaller than the measured proton charge radius $r_p = 0.88$ fm 85.

The results for the purely hadronic resonance gas that is interacting with the mesonic fields as described above (int. HRG) is depicted by the solid magenta line (color online). In this case, at the critical temperature $T_c = 164$ MeV, which quantitatively agrees well with recent lattice data, $\sigma$ falls off in a small temperature range. As already pointed out in Ref. 13, a slower decrease of $\sigma$, as it is observed in the lattice data, can be achieved by taking into account pionic self interactions 80 88. Nevertheless, as predicted by all lattice QCD calculations, the transition is a smooth cross-over for both order parameters. As found in Ref. 43, the inclusion of all known hadronic degrees of freedom in a $\sigma$-$\omega$ model restricts the chiral phase transition to a smooth cross-over in the whole $T$-$\mu$ plane. However, the first-order liquid-gas phase transition for nuclear matter at the ground state up to a critical end-point $T_c \approx 15$ MeV stays present in our model.

The second scenario considered here, is the interacting HRG with the described PNJL-like quark phase (blue dashed line). The decrease of $\sigma$ is also very strong but the slope flattens significantly at the critical temperature for the Polyakov loop $T_c = 175$ MeV as shown in Fig. 2. However, in comparison to the pure HRG it shows, that the slope of $\sigma(T)$ does not change up to $T_c$ and therefore that the chiral phase transition is mainly driven by hadronic degrees of freedom.

Since quarks in the model do not have an eigenvolume, quark degrees of freedom are strongly preferred to be occupied at $T_c$ and above. As of this temperature, the number of quarks exceeds the number of baryons and mesons in the systems. Their smaller coupling strength to the chiral condensate (cf. Tab. 11) leads to a much slower decrease in $\sigma$. On the other hand, the rapid increase of the quark multiplicities at $T_c$ generates a distinctly steeper slope of the Polyakov loop parameter $\Phi$ (b) than predicted by lattice QCD data, which is compiled in the gray band in Fig. 2.

However, the Polyakov loop $\Phi$ results reproduce those from Ref. 13, depicted by the red circles. More recent PNJL models 10 also show a significant overshooting of the lattice results in the transition region revealing an obvious discrepancy between effective models and first-principle calculations on this point. In lattice QCD, there is no sharp increase in the deconfinement order parameter at $T_c$.

As two limiting cases, the order parameters for the non-interacting HRG is shown with [green dashed line in Fig. 2] and without a quark phase. The $\sigma$-parameter for the latter two scenarios are shown by the flat line at $\sigma/\sigma_0 = 1$. The excluded volume parameters of the baryons $V_{ex}$ for the four different scenarios considered had to be adapted in order to both give a smooth transition between the two phases and a reasonable agreement with lattice data for the thermodynamic quantities. These requirements cause a rather large eigenvolume of
the baryons in the fully interacting scenario. The values chosen for the different model scenarios considered in this study are listed in Tab. IV. In the two scenarios with only a hadronic phase, on the other hand, hadrons do not carry an explicit eigenvolume and thus excluded volume corrections do not apply.

Figure 3 shows the total densities of baryons and antibaryons (green lines), mesons (blue lines), and quarks plus antiquarks (black lines) at μ = 0 as a function of the temperature, for the two scenarios containing a quark phase. The results are shown for the non-interacting ideal gas scenario (solid lines) and the fully interacting scenario with the non-zero couplings to the fields (dashed lines). Obviously, the coupling of the particles to the scalar fields, which generates the effective masses as defined by Eq. (3), leads to an increase in the particle multiplicities at T_c, in particular of the baryon multiplicity which, however, drops again shortly due to the large baryon volume in favor of a quickly rising quark multiplicity. In the temperature range 0.6 T_c < T < 1.3 T_c, there is a phase in which quarks and hadrons coexist, as expected for a cross-over transition. At a temperature T ≈ 1.3 T_c, baryons vanish from the system.

The quarks, however, show a stronger dependence on their couplings to the repulsive vector fields. If the quarks do not couple to the repulsive vector field, showing an unphysical behavior they appear even at temperatures far below T_c and their total density is much larger than the baryon density for all temperatures. Obviously, this behavior has a strong impact on the nuclear ground state what will be studied below. If the mesons couple to the fields, the effective masses of the pseudoscalar mesons scale as m^* ≈ 1/σ [43]. Therefore, at temperatures T > T_c their multiplicities decrease significantly faster than in the non-interacting case due to an increasing mass.

As defined by Eq. (3), the effective masses m^* of the particles in the chiral model are dynamically generated by the coupling to the scalar meson fields. Increasing temperature and density decreases σ and m* accordingly. Therefore, chiral symmetry can be restored. Figure 4 shows the effective masses of the baryons and of the quarks in the fully interacting scenario as a function of the temperature. The lower panel (b) shows the effec-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>baryons</th>
<th>mesons</th>
<th>quarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HRG</td>
<td>HRG</td>
<td>HRG+q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_ex</td>
<td>g_Bv</td>
<td>g_qv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table IV. The four different scenarios considered here include the non-interacting HRG and the interacting HRG, both with and without a quark phase q. For all scenarios, the values for the excluded volume V_ex are given in fm^3. The couplings of the baryons B and the quarks q to the scalar and the vector meson fields (g_Bv, g_qv) are either set to zero when marked with a cross, or have the finite value listed in Tab. III if indicated by a check mark (see text). The first two scenarios are purely hadronic and do not include a quark phase.
masses of the baryons and the experimentally determined in such a way as to reproduce the well-known vacuum mesonic fields and the mesonic potential were chosen without the quark phase and excluded volume corrections as argued in Refs. [90, 91]. Therefore the mean field approximation should not be affected by too small baryonic masses and possible fluctuations as argued in Refs. [90, 91].

In the hadronic version of this effective model, i.e. without the quark phase and excluded volume correction terms [41, 42], the couplings of the baryon octet to the mesonic fields and the mesonic potential were chosen in such a way as to reproduce the well-known vacuum masses of the baryons and the experimentally determined masses of the mesons, as well as the nuclear ground state properties (e.g. the correct binding energy per nucleon and the nuclear compression modulus), and the asymmetry energy. The implementation of the quark phase is done in a way which ensures that these requirements are still fulfilled. Moreover, one has to consider whether quarks already appear in the nuclear ground state.

First, we consider temperature zero. Figure 6 shows the vector densities of up and down quarks \( \rho_q \) (dashed lines) and of nucleons \( \rho_N \) (solid red line) at \( T = 0 \) as a function of \( \rho_B/\rho_0 \) for zero (a) and non-zero quark vector couplings \( g_{q\omega} \) (b) and different values of the scalar couplings \( g_{q\sigma,\zeta} \) (see parameter list below the plots). The gray shaded areas indicate possible coexistence of quarks and nucleons. If the quark vector couplings take a finite value (b), quarks are predominantly present at \( \rho_B = 4 \rho_0 \) and all nucleons vanish within the model at \( \rho_B = 15 \rho_0 \). The solid black line in (a) depicts \( \rho_N \) for the purely hadronic model without quarks. To ensure that no free quarks are present in the ground state, both couplings have to be chosen sufficiently large.

\[
\rho_q = \sum_{i=u,d}^{N} \rho_{q_i}^{+} - \rho_{q_i}^{-},
\]

Figure 5. (Color online) Vector densities of up and down quarks \( \rho_q \) (dashed lines) and of nucleons \( \rho_N \) (solid red line) at \( T = 0 \) as a function of \( \rho_B/\rho_0 \) for zero (a) and non-zero quark vector couplings \( g_{q\omega} \) (b) and different values of the scalar couplings \( g_{q\sigma,\zeta} \) (see parameter list below the plots). The gray shaded areas indicate possible coexistence of quarks and nucleons. If the quark vector couplings take a finite value (b), quarks are predominantly present at \( \rho_B = 4 \rho_0 \) and all nucleons vanish within the model at \( \rho_B = 15 \rho_0 \). The solid black line in (a) depicts \( \rho_N \) for the purely hadronic model without quarks. To ensure that no free quarks are present in the ground state, both couplings have to be chosen sufficiently large.
ferent values for the quark - scalar meson field coupling ($g_{q\sigma,\zeta} = -3.5$, blue dotted line, and $g_{q\sigma,\zeta} = -4.0$, magenta dashed line) are shown. It is obvious that without the coupling to the repulsive vector field, in both cases the quarks appear at densities smaller than the nuclear ground state density at $\rho_B = 0.15$ fm$^3$. This specific choice of quark coupling parameters may thus only be physically correct if the quarks in this region of the phase diagram are not considered to be unbound particles. The green dashed line in both panels of the figure shows the density of the nucleons $\rho_N$ which differs only slightly for the different parameter sets in (a) and (b). As a reference, the solid black line in panel (a) depicts the nucleon density in the purely hadronic model without a quark phase.

The values for the quark scalar coupling in panel (b) are the same as before and the value of the up and down quark vector coupling is varied between $g_{q\nu} = 3.0$ and $g_{q\nu} = 4.0$. It shows, that with a scalar coupling of $g_{q\sigma} = -4.0$ for the up and down quarks as well as $g_{q\zeta} = -4.0$ for the strange quarks and a vector coupling equal or larger than $g_{q\nu} = 3.0$ for up and down quarks, all quarks are effectively suppressed below the nuclear ground state density as expected. Therefore, with the given choice of parameters, which is compatible with the corresponding parameters in the baryon sector, the quarks do not affect the nuclear ground state. In the case of non-zero quark vector couplings (b), quarks are predominantly abundant at $\rho_B = 4 \rho_0$ and all nucleons within the model cease to exist at $\rho_B = 15 \rho_0$.

With this parameter set, the model reproduces the nuclear saturation density $\rho_0 \approx 0.15$ fm$^3$ and the correct binding energy per nucleon $E/A(\rho_0) \approx -16$ MeV. At the saturation density the compression modulus of infinite nuclear matter is given by [22]

$$K_\infty = k_F^2 \frac{d^2 E/A}{dk_F^2} |_{k_F = k_F^0} = 9 \rho_0^2 \frac{d^2 E/A}{d \rho^2} |_{\rho = \rho_0}. \quad (25)$$

Calculations of isoscalar giant monopole and dipole resonances, i.e. compression modes of nuclei, and related experimental data suggest a value of $K_\infty = 240 \pm 20$ MeV for the compression modulus of nuclear matter (see Ref. [22] for a recent comprehensive review on how to determine $K_\infty$). The purely hadronic model neglecting the eigenvolume of the particles, gives a value of $K_\infty = 225$ MeV. However, if quarks are included and the excluded volume effects are taken into account in order to suppress hadronic degrees of freedom above $T_c$, the pressure and $E/A$ as a function of the baryon density increases much faster depending on the choice of $V_{ex}$.

This leads to a significantly higher compressibility modulus, which is $K_{\infty} = 370$ MeV for an eigenvalue of the baryons of $V_{ex} = 1$ fm$^3$ and an unreasonably high value of $K_{\infty} = 890$ MeV if $V_{ex}$ is set to 2 fm$^3$.

This rapid increase in the density could be overcome by making the eigenvalue of the particles temperature or density dependent. Phenomenologically, it seems plausible that the eigenvalue of one hadron is not perceived by another particle further away at low temperatures and densities below the nuclear ground state, where particles are statistically distributed relatively far from each other in a large volume. Therefore, the eigenvolume effect would vanish in this region and it would reach its actual full value at higher densities, at which the particles in the system are densely packed and would start to overlap. This, on the one hand, would still result in the same effective suppression of hadrons at high temperatures and densities in favor for point-like quarks as before. However, the introduction of a density or temperature dependent eigenvalue needs to be done with care, as not to violate the thermodynamic consistency of the approach (cf. Eq. 23) [11, 94, 95]. Particularly, since the transition between the hadronic and the quark phase is abrupt in the fully interacting model, this seems reasonable in order to achieve a much smoother transition. Work along this line is in progress.

**B. Thermodynamics**

We will now take a closer look at the thermodynamic properties of the model. Figure 6 shows the energy den-
sity divided by the temperature to the fourth power, $e/ T^4$. Panel (a) depicts the purely hadronic model without quarks in the non-interacting scenario (black dashed line) as well as results from the scenario in which hadrons fully interact with the meson fields (solid magenta line). The interacting HRG shows a rapid rise of the energy at $T_c$. This is due to the drop in the scalar condensate $\sigma$. Therefore, also the effective hadron masses decrease rapidly, which leads to large hadron abundances in the absence of any volume based particle repulsion. The increase of the thermodynamic quantities of the ideal HRG is obviously much slower above $T_c$ since the masses are not dynamically generated via couplings to the scalar meson fields. The purely hadronic model is considered only to be valid at least up to temperatures in the region of $T_c$.

Panel (b) shows the results from the chiral model including the additional quark phase. Below the critical temperature, the energy density in the non-interacting scenario (green dashed line) is significantly higher than in the non-interacting scenario (blue dashed line). This is because in this case, there is no suppression by the vector meson fields and quarks are not suppressed at low temperatures (cf. Fig. 3). Furthermore, in this scenario, the excluded volume of the hadrons $V_{ex}$ is chosen a bit smaller (cf. Tab. IV). In the region between $T = 140$ MeV and 170 MeV the interacting scenario shows a dip in the energy density. This is caused by the eigenvolume suppression of the hadrons and a rather slow increase of the quark abundances due to their coupling on the repulsive vector meson fields. At higher temperatures ($T > 250$ MeV), results from both model scenarios converge again, reflecting the fact that from this temperature on the system in both scenarios is dominated by quarks. Unlike in a Hagedorn resonance gas [95], given the large but still limited number of degrees of freedom in our model, thermodynamic quantities do not diverge.

Figure 7 shows the same quantity from the interacting HRG with and without quarks in comparison to lattice QCD results from Refs. [76, 79–83]. Up to $T_c$, the results from the purely hadronic model are in quantitatively good agreement with newest lattice data with the continuum extrapolated stout action [81–83]. Compared to the HRG, the somewhat older lattice data from the HotQCD collaboration [76, 79, 80] clearly underestimates the energy density in the hadronic regime ($T < T_c$). In this figure, the impact of the quark phase and the associated excluded volume suppression on the thermodynamic properties of the model becomes obvious. As mentioned above, there is a dip in $e/ T^4$ in the vicinity of $T_c$. In this region, the rather large eigenvolume of the hadrons leads to a flattening of the baryon density as a function of the temperature, while the quark density is only just starting to rise sharply and cannot compensate the lower hadron contribution (cf. dashed lines in Fig. 3). In the transition region, results from our model including quarks lie in the region between the lattice data from the HotQCD collaboration and newest continuum extrapolated Wuppertal-Budapest results. A convergence of more recent and highly anticipated data from the HotQCD collaboration, probably with physical pion masses and continuum extrapolation, towards our full-model results seems possible.

Figure 8 shows the pressure over the temperature to the fourth power as a function of $T$. Depicted are results from the presented model (with line styles as in the previous figures) compared to lattice data. Here, the same observations as for the energy can be made. The pressure in both scenarios without quarks rises monotonously and again much faster above $T_c$ in the interacting case due to the dropping effective masses. The scenarios including quarks differ again, mainly due to their difference in the coupling of particles to the vector fields. The pressure calculated with the interacting model reproduces the slope of the stout action results nicely, only undershooting lattice results in a small region around $T_c$. Again, compared to HRG results, older lattice data obviously yields too small values for $T < 1.2 T_c$.

An important quantity, characterizing the phase transition, is the trace of the energy momentum tensor $c - 3 p$, also known as interaction measure or trace anomaly, the basic thermodynamic quantity in lattice QCD from which other thermodynamic quantities can be obtained via integration. Figure 9 shows this quantity (again di-
tion agrees well with the HRG with quarks scenario. The model with presumably correct degrees of freedom, the interacting HRG with the quark phase, shows reasonably good agreement to latest lattice data (stout, continuum extrapolated). The line styles depicting the different lattice actions. The model with presumably correct degrees of freedom, the interacting HRG with the quark phase, shows reasonably good agreement to latest lattice data (stout, continuum extrapolated).

Figure 8. (Color online) Pressure over temperature to the fourth power from the chiral model in comparison to lattice QCD results at $\mu = 0$. The line styles depicting the different model scenarios are as in Fig. 5. As for the energy, the lattice data show obvious discrepancies between the different lattice actions.

Figure 9. (Color online) Interaction measure, $(e - 3p)/T^4$, as a function of the temperature at $\mu_B = 0$ in comparison to lattice data obtained with different fermion actions. Line styles and colors for the different scenarios as depicted in Fig. 8, additional lattice data taken from Ref. [74, 78]. Up to $T \approx T_c$ the data obtained with the continuum extrapolated stout action agrees well with the HRG with quarks scenario.

A quantity which connects thermodynamic properties of the equation of state with the dynamics of heavy ion collisions is the velocity of sound $c_s$ which is deduced from thermodynamic quantities via

$$c_s^2 = \frac{\partial p}{\partial e} \bigg|_{s/\rho_{\text{int}}}.$$

The square of the speed of sound of nuclear matter equals the change in pressure for a given change in energy along an isentropic expansion path. Thus, this important property controls the expansion dynamics of the whole fireball, also of sound-like fluctuations and density perturbations within the whole expanding system. The expansion dynamics and possible high density shock waves evolving from the collision of the two nuclei, in particular in uneven sized colliding nuclei, are likely to be reflected in final state particle observables [97–100]. Vice versa, the determination of the speed of sound from easily accessible particle observables may provide in-depth information on the thermodynamic properties of nuclear matter, especially in relation to the phase transition where $c_s$ significantly drops [101, 107].

The velocity of sound squared as a function of the temperature calculated with the chiral model and from lattice QCD [108] is shown in Fig. 10. Again, the different parameter scenarios described above are considered and, as expected, it is observed that the smoother the transition of the thermodynamic quantities at $T_c$, the stiffer the equation of state. This seems plausible since a smooth change in effective degrees of freedom at the phase transition leads to much smoother course in energy density and pressure and thus in a much flatter derivative Eq. (26).

The interacting HRG (solid magenta line) shows the smallest $c_s^2$ at $T_c$ due to the rapid increase of degrees of freedom in a small range caused by the drop in $m^*$. When looking at the speed of sound for the two fully interacting scenarios, one also observes a second drop down to $c_s^2 = 0.1$ (or a small dip in $c_s^2$ if the quark phase is taken into account) at temperatures slightly above $T_c$. This local minimum is caused by the strange quark-antiquark condensate $\zeta$ which falls off at this point and affects $m^*$ as described in Eq. (39). For a better understanding, the $\zeta$-field $(0.1\zeta(T)/\zeta_0)$ in the interacting HRG scenario is illustrated by the thin dashed gray line.

The velocity of sound squared as a function of the temperature calculated with the chiral model and from lattice QCD [108] is shown in Fig. 10. Again, the different parameter scenarios described above are considered and, as expected, it is observed that the smoother the transition of the thermodynamic quantities at $T_c$, the stiffer the equation of state. This seems plausible since a smooth change in effective degrees of freedom at the phase transition leads to much smoother course in energy density and pressure and thus in a much flatter derivative Eq. (26).

The interacting HRG (solid magenta line) shows the smallest $c_s^2$ at $T_c$ due to the rapid increase of degrees of freedom in a small range caused by the drop in $m^*$. When looking at the speed of sound for the two fully interacting scenarios, one also observes a second drop down to $c_s^2 = 0.1$ (or a small dip in $c_s^2$ if the quark phase is taken into account) at temperatures slightly above $T_c$. This local minimum is caused by the strange quark-antiquark condensate $\zeta$ which falls off at this point and affects $m^*$ as described in Eq. (39). For a better understanding, the $\zeta$-field $(0.1\zeta(T)/\zeta_0)$ in the interacting HRG scenario is illustrated by the thin dashed gray line.
with the quark phase (green dashed line) shows only a small negative deviation from the ideal HRG (black dashed line) at \( T_c \). As mentioned above, this is due to smooth changes in \( p \) and \( e \) at the phase transition (cf. Fig. 4). For higher temperatures the value quickly tends toward the ideal gas limit \( c_\omega^2 = 1/3 \), as expected.

In experiment, a distinctive softening of the EoS, i.e. a small \( c_\omega^2 \) in the transition region, strongly slows down the flow of matter during the collision process and thus stops a deflection of spectator matter. This, so-called, burning log effect \cite{108, 111, 113}, causes an observable reduction of directed and elliptic flow of particles produced in semi-peripheral collisions, as was argued in Refs. \cite{111, 113}. This behavior was experimentally observed by the NA49 collaboration Ref. \cite{116}. At beam energies around 40A GeV, a collapse of the proton directed and elliptic flow was found. We note, that there is no softening of the EoS in the lattice data. The sound velocity from Ref. \cite{108} stays constant up to \( T_C \) and rises for higher temperatures with a slope comparable to chiral model results with included quark phase.

Given these observations, we conclude that our EoS based on the interacting HRG including the quark phase, offers not only feasible degrees of freedom in a wide range of temperatures and densities but also exhibits properties consistent with experimental observations.

**IV. SUMMARY**

In this paper, results from a well tested chiral effective \( \sigma-\omega \) model were presented, including all known hadronic degrees of freedom, which for this study was extended by a quark phase. The quarks were added in an PNJL-like approach in which they couple to an effective Polyakov loop potential. The chiral order parameter and the Polyakov loop order parameter for the deconfinement transition show a critical temperature \( T \approx 165 \text{ MeV} \), which is in line with all latest lattice QCD predictions. It shows, that the chiral phase transition is mainly driven in the hadronic regime and there are no qualitative changes concerning the chiral transition when adding a quark phase.

At higher temperatures and densities hadronic degrees of freedom are effectively suppressed in favor of a purely quark-dominated QGP phase by eigenvolume corrections. Therefore, the presented model offers a description of nuclear matter with the correct degrees of freedom in a wide range of temperature and baryochemical potential. Additionally, with the chiral model it is possible to study both, the chiral and the deconfinement phase transition. Fixing the value for the coupling strength of quarks to the repulsive meson vector fields, which has a major influence on the structure and the properties of the resulting phase diagram, reasonable nuclear ground state properties and a first-order liquid-gas phase transition can be reproduced. Nevertheless, the value of the nuclear matter compression modulus may restrict our choice of values for the finite particle volume and the repulsive quark vector couplings. For a future study, we intend to introduce a thermodynamically consistent implementation of temperature or density dependent eigenvalue corrections that could remedy this problem. For both, the chiral and the deconfinement phase transition, a smooth cross-over transition at vanishing and also finite baryochemical potentials is obtained, as previously shown without quarks for the chiral transition in Ref. \cite{43}.

The thermodynamic quantities from the chiral model, generally show a qualitatively reasonable agreement with lattice data, even though, in particular for the interaction measure there are still significant differences between the various lattice QCD results depending on the lattice action used. The softening of the sound velocity in the transition region was present in all scenarios except the pure HRG, where no rapid change of degrees of freedom takes places. For the HRG with the included quark phase the largest drop in \( c_\omega^2 \) was observed. If all particles in the model fully couple to the mesonic fields, the sound velocity squared gets close to zero at the phase transition. This significant softening meets a major constraint on the EoS as it is suggested by experimental observations on the drop in the flow by the NA49 collaboration \cite{116}.

The chiral effective model presented here, is able to provide an EoS to be used in hydrodynamic models for studying the dynamics of heavy ion collisions. This is a
major benefit of an integrated model which has the right degrees of freedom in a wide range of the phase diagram. Therefore, the resulting EoS is well suited for calculations with hybrid models [25], like the UrQMD hybrid model [22], since it exhibits known features of QCD and has exactly the same degrees of freedom in the hydrodynamic stage as in the early and subsequent cascade stage.
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